



ISSN: 0067-2904

A Study on n-Derivation in Prime Near – Rings

Enaam Farhan Adhab

Directorate General of Education in Qadisiyah, Department of supervisory specialization, Iraq, Qadisiyah

Received: 4/6/ 2019

Accepted: 21/ 9/2019

Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to show that zero symmetric prime near-rings, satisfying certain identities on n-derivations, are commutative rings.

Keywords: Prime Near-Ring, Semigroup Ideal, n-Derivations.

دراسة على الاشتقاقات -n في الحلقات المقترية الاولى

انعام فرحان عذاب

المديرية العامة للتربية في القادسية ، قسم التخصص الاشرافي ، القادسية ، العراق

الخلاصة

الهدف الاساسي من البحث هو اثبات انه الحلقات المقترية الاولى تحت تاثير شروط معينة على الاشتقاقات تصبح حلقات ابدالية.

1. INTRODUCTION

A near – ring is a set A together with two binary operations $(+ \text{ and } .)$ such that (i) $(A, +)$ is a group (not necessarily abelian), (ii) $(A, .)$ is a semi group, and (iii) $\forall a, b, c \in A$; we have $a.(b + c) = a.b + b.c$. In this paper, A will be a zero symmetric near-ring (i.e., A satisfying $0.x = 0 \forall x \in A$) and $C = \{a \in A, ab = ba \text{ for all } a \in A\}$. If $I \subseteq A$, I is said to be a semigroup left ideal (semigroup right ideal) if $AI \subseteq A$ ($IA \subseteq I$) and it will be called a semigroup ideal if I is a semigroup left ideal as well as a semigroup right ideal. denote $a.b$ by $ab, \forall a, b \in A$, $[a, b] = ab - ba$, and $a \circ b = ab + ba$. A is called a prime near-ring if $aAb = \{0\}$, which implies that either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. For more information about the near-rings, we refer to a previous publication [1].

In another article [2], Ashraf defined n-derivations in the near-rings. In our work, we show that the prime near-rings involving n-derivations, as previously defined [2], with some conditions are commutative rings.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULT

Lemma 2.1. [3]. Let N be a prime near-ring, U a nonzero semigroup right ideal (resp. semigroup left ideal), and x is an element of N such that $Ux = \{0\}$ (resp. $xU = \{0\}$), then $x = 0$.

Lemma 2.2. [3]. Let N be a prime near-ring and Z contains a nonzero semigroup left ideal or nonzero semigroup right ideal, then N is a commutative ring.

Lemma 2.3. [3]. Let N be a prime near-ring and U be a nonzero semigroup ideal of N . If $x, y \in N$ and $xUy = \{0\}$, then $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.

Lemma 2.4. [2]. Let N be a prime near-ring, then d is n-derivation of N if and only if

$$d(x_1 x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1 d(x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n) + d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) x_1' \\ \forall x_1, x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n \in N.$$

Lemma 2.5[2]. Let N be a near-ring and d be n -derivation of N . Then for every $x_1, x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n, y \in N$

$$(i) (x_1 d(x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n) + d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) x_1') y =$$

$$x_1 d(x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n) y + d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) x_1' y,$$

$$(ii) (d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) x_1' + x_1 d(x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n)) y =$$

$$d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) x_1' y + x_1 d(x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n) y.$$

Lemma 2.6 [4]. Let d be n -derivation of a near ring N . Then $d(Z, N, \dots, N) \subseteq Z$.

Lemma 2.7 [4]. Let N be a prime near ring, d a nonzero n -derivation of N , and U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n are nonzero semigroup right (left) ideals of N . If $d(U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n) = \{0\}$, then $d = 0$.

Lemma 2.8 [4]. Let N be a prime near ring, d a nonzero n -derivation of N , and U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n be a nonzero semigroup left ideals of N . If $d(U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n) \subseteq Z$, then N is a commutative ring.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. Let A be a prime near ring and I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be semigroup ideals of A . If there exists a nonzero n -derivation d of A satisfying one of the following :

$$(i) d([a, b], i_2, \dots, i_n) = a^k [a, b] a^t \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n, \text{ or}$$

$$(ii) d([a, b], i_2, \dots, i_n) = -a^k [a, b] a^t \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n,$$

for some $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$, then A is a commutative ring.

Proof. (i) Suppose that:

$$d([a, b], i_2, \dots, i_n) = a^k [a, b] a^t \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n. \quad (1)$$

By replacing b by ab in (1), we obtain:

$$d([a, ab], i_2, \dots, i_n) = a^k [a, ab] a^t \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n.$$

So we have:

$$d(a[a, b], i_2, \dots, i_n) = a^{k+1} [a, b] a^t \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n.$$

By defining the property of d , the previous equation becomes:

$$d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n) [a, b] + ad([a, b], i_2, \dots, i_n) = a^{k+1} [a, b] a^t \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n.$$

By using (1) again in the last equation we have:

$$d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n) ab = d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n) ba \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n. \quad (2)$$

By substituting b by br , where $r \in A$ in (2) and using (2) again, it implies that:

$$d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n) y[a, r] = 0 \text{ for all } \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n, r \in A.$$

Therefore

$$d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n) I_1[a, r] = 0 \forall a \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n, r \in A \quad (3)$$

By using Lemma 2.3 in the previous equation, we conclude that, for each $a \in I_1$, either $a \in C$ or $d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n) = 0$ for all $i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n$. In both cases, by using Lemma 2.6, we obtain $d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in C$ for all $a \in U_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n$, i.e., $d(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n) \subseteq C$. Now, by using Lemma 2.8, we find that A is a commutative ring. (ii) By using the same technique

Corollary 3.2 Let A be a prime near ring. If there exists $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that A admits a nonzero n -derivation d , satisfying either

$$(i) d([a, b], a_2, \dots, a_n) = a^k [a, b] a^t$$

$$\forall a, b, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A, \text{ or}$$

$$(ii) d([a, b], a_2, \dots, a_n) = -a^k [a, b] a^t$$

$$\forall a, b, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A,$$

Then A is a commutative ring.

Theorem 3.3. Let A be a prime near ring and I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be semigroup ideals of A . If there exists a nonzero n -derivation d of A satisfying one of the following:

$$(i) d(a \circ b, i_2, \dots, i_n) = a^k (a \circ b) a^t \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n, \text{ or}$$

$$(ii) d(a \circ b, i_2, \dots, i_n) = -a^k (a \circ b) a^t \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n,$$

for some $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$, then A is a commutative ring.

Proof. (i) Assume that:

$$d(a \circ b, i_2, \dots, i_n) = a^k (a \circ b) a^t \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n \quad (4)$$

Replacing b by $abin$ (4) we get

$$d(a \circ ab, i_2, \dots, i_n) = a^k (a \circ ab) a^t \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n.$$

So we get:

$$d(a(a \circ b), i_2, \dots, i_n) = a^{k+1} (a \circ b) a^t \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n.$$

By defining the property of d , the previous equation implies that:

$$d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n) (a \circ b) + ad(a \circ b, i_2, \dots, i_n) = a^{k+1} (a \circ b) a^t \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n.$$

By using (4) again in the previous equation, it implies that:

$$d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n)ba = -d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n)ab \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n. \quad (5)$$

Bu putting bc for b, where $c \in A$, in (5) and using it again, it leadsto:

$$d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n)bca = -d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n)abc$$

$$= d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n)ab(-c)$$

$$= d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n)b(-a)(-c)$$

$\forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n, c \in A$. Thus, we obtain:

$$d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n)b(ca + (-a)c) = 0 \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n, c \in A.$$

Therefore:

$$d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n)I_1(-c(-a) + (-a)c) = \{0\} \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n, c \in A.$$

For each fixed $a \in I_1$, Lemma 2.3 leads to:

$$-a \in C \text{ or } d(a, i_2, \dots, i_n) = 0 = d(-a, i_2, \dots, i_n) \forall i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n. \quad (6)$$

If there is an element $a_1 \in I_1$ such that $-a_1 \in C$, then by Lemma 2.4 and the definition of d we obtain $\forall r \in A, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} d((-a_1)r, i_2, \dots, i_n) &= (-a_1)d(r, i_2, \dots, i_n) + d(-a_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)r \\ &= d(r(-a_1), i_2, \dots, i_n) \\ &= d(r, i_2, \dots, i_n)(-a_1) + rd(-a_1, i_2, \dots, i_n). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that:

$$d(-a_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)r = rd(-a_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \text{ for all } r \in A, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n. \quad (7)$$

From (6) and (7), we secure that:

$$d(-a, i_2, \dots, i_n)r = rd(-a, i_2, \dots, i_n) \text{ for all } r \in A, a \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n. \quad (8)$$

So

$$d(-a, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in C \forall a \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n. \quad (9)$$

Now, by replacing a by $(-a)b$, where $b \in I_1$, in (9), we obtain

$$d((-a)b, i_2, \dots, i_n) = d((-a)(-b), i_2, \dots, i_n) \in C \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n.$$

Which means that:

$$d((-a)(-b), i_2, \dots, i_n)m = md((-a)(-b), i_2, \dots, i_n) \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n, m \in A.$$

By using Lemma 2.5(ii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(-a, i_2, \dots, i_n)(-b)m + (-a)d(-b, i_2, \dots, i_n)m &= \\ md(-a, i_2, \dots, i_n)(-b) + m(-a)d(-b, i_2, \dots, i_n) &= \\ \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n, m \in A. & \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Bu taking $(-a)$ instead of m in (10) and using (9) we obtain

$$d(-a, i_2, \dots, i_n)A[(-a), (-b)] = \{0\} \forall a, b \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n.$$

By primeness of A we get $\forall a \in I_1$

$$d(-a, i_2, \dots, i_n) = 0 \forall i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n$$

or

$$(-a)(-b) = (-b)(-a) \forall b \in I_1.$$

If $d(-a, i_2, \dots, i_n) = 0 \forall a \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n$, we secure that $d(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n) = 0$ and by using Lemma 2.7, we have that d is a zero derivation, and this result contradicts our hypothesis.

Therefore, there exist $z_1 \in I_1, z_2 \in I_2, \dots, z_n \in I_n$ with all being nonzero, such that:

$$d(-z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \neq 0 \text{ and } (-z_1)(-y) = (-y)(-z_1) \forall y \in I_1. \quad (11)$$

By replacing y by $-yx$, where $x \in N$ in (11), we obtain

$$(-z_1)yx = yx(-z_1) \forall y \in I_1, x \in A. \quad (12)$$

By putting $(-s)y$, where $s \in I_1$, instead of y and $d(-z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ instead of x in (12), we obtain

$$(-z_1)(-s)y d(-z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (-s)y d(-z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)(-z_1) \forall s, y \in I_1.$$

By using (11) and (9) in the last equation, we obtain

$$(-s)[(-z_1), y] Ad(-z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \{0\} \text{ for all } s, y \in I_1.$$

$$\text{Since } d(-z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \neq 0, \text{ As } A \text{ is a prime ring, we obtain } (-s)[(-z_1), y] = 0 \forall s, y \in I_1. \quad (13).$$

By putting $-sa$, where $a \in A$, instead of s in (13), we obtain

$$sA[(-z_1), y] = \{0\} \text{ for all } s, y \in I_1. \quad (14)$$

Since $I_1 \neq 0$, As A is a prime ring, we obtain

$$(-z_1)y = y(-z_1) \text{ for all } y \in I_1. \quad (15)$$

By replacing y by yq , where $q \in A$, in (15) and using it again, we obtain

$$y[(-z_1), q] = 0 \text{ for all } y \in I_1, q \in A. \text{ Which means that:}$$

$U_1[(-z_1), q] = \{0\}$ for all $q \in A$. By Lemma 2.1, we secure that $-z_1 \in C$. Returning to

If we put z_1 instead of $a_{in}(10)$, we obtain

$$d(-z_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)[m, -y] = 0 \forall y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular,

$d(-z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)A[m, -y] = 0$ for all $y \in I_1, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(-z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \neq 0$, the primeness of A implies that $-y \in C$ for all $y \in I_1$. Which means that $-I_1 \subseteq C$. But $-I_1$ is a semigroup left ideal, then we conclude that A is a commutative ring by Lemma 2.2.

(ii) We can prove it similarly

Corollary 3.4. Let d be a nonzero n -derivation defined on a prime near-ring A , satisfying either

$$(i) \quad d(x \circ y, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x^k(x \circ y)x^t \forall x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A, \text{ or}$$

$$(ii) \quad d(x \circ y, x_2, \dots, x_n) = -x^k(x \circ y)x^t \forall x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A,$$

for some $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$, then A is a commutative ring.

Theorem 3.5. Let d be a nonzero n -derivation defined on a prime near ring A and I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be semigroup ideals of A . If d is satisfying either

$$(i) \quad d([x, y], i_2, \dots, i_n) = x^k(x \circ y)x^t \forall x, y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n, \text{ or}$$

$$(ii) \quad d([x, y], i_2, \dots, i_n) = -x^k(x \circ y)x^t \forall x, y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n,$$

for some $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$, then A is a commutative ring.

Proof. (i) Suppose that:

$$d([x, y], u_2, \dots, u_n) = x^k(x \circ y)x^t \forall x, y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n \quad (16)$$

If we replace y by xy in (16), we imply that:

$$d([x, xy], i_2, \dots, i_n) = x^k(x \circ xy)x^t \forall x, y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n.$$

So

$$d(x[x, y], i_2, \dots, i_n) = x^{k+1}(x \circ y)x^t \forall x, y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n.$$

By defining the property of d , we obtain:

$$d(x, i_2, \dots, i_n)[x, y] + xd([x, y], i_2, \dots, i_n) = x^{k+1}(x \circ y)x^t$$

By using (16) again in the previous equation, it implies that:

$$d(x, i_2, \dots, i_n)xy = d(x, i_2, \dots, i_n)yx \forall x, y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n. \quad (17)$$

which is identical with equation (2) in Theorem 3.1. Following the same way, we secure that A is a commutative ring.

(ii) We can prove it similarly.

Corollary 3.6. Let d be a nonzero n -derivation of a prime near ring A , satisfying either

$$(i) \quad d([x, y], x_2, \dots, x_n) = x^k(x \circ y)x^t$$

for all $x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A$, or

$$(ii) \quad d([x, y], x_2, \dots, x_n) = -x^k(x \circ y)x^t$$

for all $x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A$,

for some $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$, then A is a commutative ring.

Theorem 3.7. Let d be a nonzero n -derivation of a prime near ring A and I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be semigroup ideals of A . If d is satisfying either

$$(i) \quad d(x \circ y, i_2, \dots, i_n) = x^k[x, y]x^t \forall x, y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n, \text{ or}$$

$$(ii) \quad d(x \circ y, u_2, \dots, u_n) = -x^k[x, y]x^t \forall x, y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n,$$

For some $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$, then A is a commutative ring.

Proof. (i) Assume that:

$$d(x \circ y, i_2, \dots, i_n) = x^k[x, y]x^t \forall x, y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n \quad (18)$$

If we replace y by xy in (18), we have

$$d(x \circ xy, i_2, \dots, i_n) = x^k[x, xy]x^t \forall x, y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n.$$

So

$$d(x(x \circ y), i_2, \dots, i_n) = x^{k+1}[x, y]x^t \forall x, y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n.$$

By defining the property of d , we obtain:

$$d(x, i_2, \dots, i_n)(x \circ y) + xd(x \circ y, i_2, \dots, i_n) = x^{k+1}[x, y]x^t$$

By using (18) again in the previous equation, it implies that:

$$d(x, i_2, \dots, i_n)xy = -d(x, i_2, \dots, i_n)yx \forall x, y \in I_1, i_2 \in I_2, \dots, i_n \in I_n. \quad (19)$$

which is identical with equation (5) in Theorem 3.3., and following the same step leads to the result

(ii) We can prove it similarly.

Corollary 3.8. Let d be a nonzero n -derivation of a prime near ring A . If d is satisfying either

- (i) $d(x \circ y, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x^k[x, y]x^t$
 for all $x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A$, or
 (ii) $d(x \circ y, x_2, \dots, x_n) = -x^k[x, y]x^t$
 for all $x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A$,
 for some $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$, then A is a commutative ring.

REFERENCE

1. Pilz, G. **1983**. *Near-Rings*. Second Edition. North Holland /American Elsevier. Amsterdam
2. Asraf, M. and Siddeeqe, M. **2013**. On permuting n -derivations in near-rings. *Commun. Kor. Math. Soc.* **28**(4): 697–707.
3. Bell, H. **1997**. On Derivations in Near-Rings II. Near-rings, Near-fields and k -loops. Kluwer Academic Publishers. *Dordrecht*. **426** : 191–197.
4. Ashraf, M., Sideeqe. M. and Parveen. N. **2015**. On semigroup ideals and n -derivations in near-rings, Science Direct, *Journal of Taibah University for Science*, **9**: 126 –132.