



A Generalized Subclass of Starlike Functions Involving Jackson's (p,q) – Derivative

Abdeljabbar Talal Yousef*, Zabidin Salleh

School of Informatics and Applied Mathematics, University Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia.

Received: 9/6/2019 Accepted: 21/9/2019

Abstract

In this paper, we generalize many earlier differential operators which were studied by other researchers using our differential operator. We also obtain a new subclass of starlike functions to utilize some interesting properties.

Keywords: Differential operator, starlike functions, coefficient inequality, inclusion properties, convexity.

1. Introduction

Let A represents the class of all analytic functions φ defined in the open unit disk $\Theta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, and normalized by the conditions $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi'(0) = 1$. Therefore, each $\varphi \in A$ has a Taylor-Maclaurin series extension of the form:

$$\varphi(z) = z + \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} a_h z^h, (z \in \Theta)$$
 (1.1)

Furthermore, let S represents the class of all functions $\varphi \in A$ which are univalent in Θ . The quantum calculus (henceforth q- calculus) is considered as a crucial tool that is used to explore the subclasses of analytic functions. q- calculus operators were used by Kanas and Raducanu to investigate some significant classes of functions which are analytic in Θ [1]. The importance of the fractional calculus applications is obvious in many topics of mathematics, such as in the fields of q- transform analysis, ordinary fractional calculus, and operator theory. Recently, researchers paid more attention to the area of q- calculus and several new operators have been proposed. The application of q- calculus was first founded by Jackson who developed the q- integral and q- derivative in a systematic way [2]. After that, through several studies on quantum groups, the geometrical interpretation of q- analysis was identified. Unlike the typical calculus, this calculus has no limits notion. A good detailed work on the calculus and it's applications in operator theory is found in aprevious report [3], while more information were provided in other articles [4, 5].

The main structure of (p,q) – calculus was established on only one parameter, but since then it was generalized to the post-quantum calculus (represented by (p,q) – calculus). In this section, we assume that we can obtain calculus by substituting p=1 in calculus.

To be fulfilled, some brief notations and definitions of (p,q) – calculus are provided below: For Jackson's derivative where $0 and <math>\varphi \in A$, the following is provided [2]:

^{*}Email: abduljabaryousef@gmail.com

Yousef and Salleh

$$D_{p,q}\varphi(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(pz) - \varphi(qz)}{(p-q)z} & for \quad z \neq 0. \\ \varphi'(0) & for \quad z = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (1.2)

From (1.2), we have

$$D_{p,q}\varphi(z) = 1 + \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q} a_h z^{h-1}$$
(1.3)

Where

$$[h]_{p,q} = p^{h-1} + p^{h-2}q + p^{h-3}q^2 + \dots + pq^{h-2} + q^{h-1} = \frac{p^h - q^h}{p - q}$$
(1.4)

is named (p,q) - bracket. It's notable that when p=1, the bracket is an obvious generalization of the q - number, that is

$$[h]_{1,q} = \frac{1-q^h}{1-q} = [h]_q, q \neq 1$$

For p=1, one can notice that the Jackson's (p,q)- derivative will be reduced to the q- derivative, as previously described [2]. It was clearly proved that for a function $\gamma(z)=z^h$, the $D_{p,q}\gamma(z)=D_{p,q}z^h=\frac{p^h-q^h}{p-q}z^{h-1}=[h]_{p,q}z^{h-1}$ is obtained. For $\varphi\in A$, the Sălăgean (p,q)- differential operator is defined as follows [6]:

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma^{0}_{p,q}\varphi(z) = \varphi(z), \\ &\Gamma^{1}_{p,q}\varphi(z) = zD_{p,q}\varphi(z), \\ & ... \\ &\Gamma^{k}_{p,q}\varphi(z) = \Gamma^{1}_{p,q}(\Gamma^{k-1}_{p,q}\varphi(z)), \\ & = z + \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} a_{h} z^{h}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, z \in \Theta) \end{split}$$

It's observable when p=1 and $\lim_{q\to 1^-}$, the well-known Sălăgean operator is obtained [6]:

$$\Gamma^{k}\varphi(z) = z + \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} h^{k} a_{h} z^{h}, \quad (z \in \Theta)$$
(1.6)

Now let

$$\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{0,k}\varphi(z) = \Gamma_{p,q}^{k}\varphi(z),$$

$$\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{1,k}\varphi(z) = (1 - \beta(\delta - \lambda))\Gamma_{p,q}^{k}\varphi(z) + \beta(\delta - \lambda)z(\Gamma^{k}\varphi(z))$$

$$= z + \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} [1 + \beta(\delta - \lambda)(h-1)]a_{h}z^{h}.$$

$$\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{2,k}\varphi(z) = (1 - \beta(\delta - \lambda))\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{1,k}\varphi(z) + \beta(\delta - \lambda)z(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{1,k}\varphi(z))$$

$$= z + \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} [1 + \beta(\delta - \lambda)(h-1)]^{2} a_{h}z^{h}.$$
(1.7)

In general, we have

$$\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z) = (1-\beta(\delta-\lambda))\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta-1,k}\varphi(z) + \beta(\delta-\lambda)z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta-1,k}\varphi(z)\right)^{k}$$

$$= z + \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} [1+\beta(\delta-\lambda)(h-1)]^{\zeta} a_{h} z^{h}$$
(1.8)

Where $\beta \ge 0, \lambda \ge 0, \delta \ge 0$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

It is observable that we have $\Lambda^{0,0}_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}\varphi(z)=\varphi(z)$, and $\Lambda^{1,0}_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}\varphi(z)=z\varphi'(z)$. It is noticeable that when p=1, the differential operator $\Lambda^{\zeta,k}_{\beta,q}\varphi(z)$ that was defined and studied by Frasin and Murugusundaramoorthy is obtained [7]. Also, it is noticeable that when p=1 and $\lim_{q\to 1}$, the following differential operator is obtained:

$$\Lambda_{\beta}^{\zeta,k} \varphi(z) = z + \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} h^{k} \left[1 + \beta(\delta - \lambda)(h-1) \right]^{\zeta} a_{h} z^{h}$$

It is noticeable that when $\delta=1$ and $\lambda=0$, we find the differential operator $\Lambda_{\beta,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z)$ that was defined and studied by Feras Yousef [8]. Furthermore, when k=0 we find the differential operator $\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda}^{\zeta}$ that was defined and studied by Ibrahim and Darus [9, 10], and when $\delta=1$, $\lambda=0$ and k=0 we identify the differential operator Λ_{β}^{ζ} defined and studied by Al-Oboudi [10], while if $\zeta=0$, we identify Sălăgean differential operator Λ^{ζ} [6].

By using the differential operator $\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z)$, we say that a function $\varphi(z)$ belonging to A is in the class $Q_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{(1 - \beta(\delta - \lambda))z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k+1}\varphi(z)\right) + \beta(\delta - \lambda)z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k+1}\varphi(z)\right)}{(1 - \beta(\delta - \lambda))\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z) + \beta(\delta - \lambda)\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z)} - 1 \right|$$

$$< \mu \left| \frac{(1 - \beta(\delta - \lambda))z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k+1}\varphi(z)\right) + \beta(\delta - \lambda)z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k+1}\varphi(z)\right)}{(1 - \beta(\delta - \lambda))\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z) + \beta(\delta - \lambda)\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z)} - b \right|, \quad (k, \zeta \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

$$(1.9)$$

for some $\mu(0 \le \mu < 1)$, $\beta, \delta, \lambda \ge 0$, and $0 \le b < 1$ for all $z \in \Theta$. Let T denotes the subclass of A consisting of functions of the form

$$\varphi(z) = z - \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} a_h z^h \quad (a_h \ge 0, z \in \Theta)$$
(1.10)

Further, we define the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$ by

$$P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b) = Q_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b) \cap T$$

The main target of this paper is to provide a systematic investigation of some important features and characteristics of the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. Some interesting corollaries and natural consequences of the main findings are also considered. Some important techniques used earlier by many researchers were applied in this work (see Al-Hawary et al. [11, 12], Aouf and Srivastava [13], and Frasin et al. [14-19]).

2. Coefficient inequality

In this section, we find the coefficient inequality for the class $P_{n,a}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let the function $\varphi(z)$ be defined by (1.10). Then $\varphi(z) \in P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda)]^{\zeta} a_{h} \le \mu (1-b)$$
(2.1)

The result is sharp.

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[h]_{p,a}^{k} \{h[h]_{p,a} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\} [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda)]^{\zeta}} z^{h}$$
(2.2)

Proof. Suppose that the inequality (2.1) holds. Then we have for $z \in \Theta$ and |z| < 1:

$$\left|(1-\beta(\delta-\lambda))z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k+1}\varphi(z)\right) + \beta(\delta-\lambda)z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k+1}\varphi(z)\right) - (1-\beta(\delta-\lambda))\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z) - \beta(\delta-\lambda)\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z)\right| - \mu\left|(1-\beta(\delta-\lambda))z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k+1}\varphi(z)\right) + \beta(\delta-\lambda)z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k+1}\varphi(z)\right) - b(1-\beta(\delta-\lambda))\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z) - b\beta(\delta-\lambda)\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z)\right| - \left|\sum_{h=2}^{\infty}[h]_{p,q}^{k}(h[h]_{p,q} - 1)[1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}a_{h}z^{h}\right| - \mu\left|z(1-b) - \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty}[h]_{p,q}^{k}(h[h]_{p,q} - b)[1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}a_{h}z^{h}\right| \le \sum_{h=2}^{\infty}[h]_{p,q}^{k}\left\{(1+\mu)h[h]_{p,q} - 1 - b\mu\right\}[1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}a_{h}z^{h} - \mu(1-b) \le 0$$

where $\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z)$ is given by (1.8).

This implies

$$\sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ (1+\mu)h[h]_{p,q} - 1 - b\mu \right\} [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda)]^{\zeta} a_{h} z^{h} \le \mu(1-b)$$

which shows that $\varphi(z) \in P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. For the converse, assume that

$$\frac{\left|\frac{(1-\beta(\delta-\lambda))z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k+1}\varphi(z)\right)+\beta(\delta-\lambda)z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k+1}\varphi(z)\right)}{(1-\beta(\delta-\lambda))\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z)+\beta(\delta-\lambda)\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z)}-1\right|}{\mu\left|\frac{(1-\beta(\delta-\lambda))z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k+1}\varphi(z)\right)+\beta(\delta-\lambda)z\left(\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k+1}\varphi(z)\right)}{(1-\beta(\delta-\lambda))\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z)+\beta(\delta-\lambda)\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{\zeta,k}\varphi(z)}}-b\right|}{\left|-\sum_{v=2}^{\infty}[h]_{p,q}^{k}(h[h]_{p,q}-1)[1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}a_{h}z^{h}\right|}{\mu\left|z(1-b)-\sum_{h=2}^{\infty}[h]_{p,q}^{k}(h[h]_{p,q}-b)[1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}a_{h}z^{h}\right|}}$$
(2.3)

Since the $Re(z) \le |z|$ for all z, it follows from (2.3) that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\sum_{h=2}^{\infty}[h]_{p,q}^{k}(h[h]_{p,q}-1)[1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}a_{h}z^{h}}{z(1-b)\mu-\mu\sum_{h=2}^{\infty}[h]_{p,q}^{k}(h[h]_{p,q}-b)[1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}a_{h}z^{h}}\right\}<1.$$
(2.4)

By choosing values of z on the real axis and letting $|z| \rightarrow 1^-$ through the real values, we obtain

$$\sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} (h[h]_{p,q} - 1)[1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda)]^{\zeta} a_{h}$$

$$\leq (1-b)\mu - \mu \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} (h[h]_{p,q} - b) [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda)]^{\zeta} a_{h}$$

This gives the required condition.

Corollary 2.2. Let the function $\varphi(z)$, defined by (1.10), be in the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. Then

$$a_{h} \leq \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}}, \quad (h \geq 2).$$
 (2.5)

The inequality in (2.1) is obtained for the function $\varphi(z)$ given by (2.2).

3. Growth and Distortion Theorems

Theorem 3.1. Let the function $\varphi(z)$, defined by (1.10), be in the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. Then for |z|=r<1,

$$\left| \Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{i,j} \varphi(z) \right| \ge r - \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[2]_{p,q}^{k-j} \left\{ 2[2]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1+\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta-i}} r^2$$
(3.1)

and

$$\left| \Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{i,j} \varphi(z) \right| \le r + \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[2]_{p,q}^{k-j} \{ 2[2]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \} [1+\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta-i}} r^2,$$

$$(0 \le i \le \zeta, 0 \le j \le k, z \in \Theta)$$
(3.2)

The inequalities in (3.1) and (3.2) are obtained for $\varphi(z)$ given by

$$\varphi(z) = z - \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[2]_{p,q}^{k} \{2[2]_{p,q}(1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\}[1+(\beta(\delta-\lambda))]^{\zeta}}$$
(3.3)

Proof. Note that the function $\varphi(z) \in P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$ if and only if

$$\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{i,j}\varphi(z) \in P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$$

and that

$$\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{i,j}\varphi(z) = z - \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{j} [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda)]^{i} a_{h} z^{h}$$
(3.4)

By the theorem 2.1

$$[2]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ 2[2]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1 + (\beta(\delta - \lambda))]^{\zeta} \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{j} (1 + \beta(\delta - \lambda))^{i} a_{h}$$

$$\leq \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ 2[2]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda)]^{\zeta} a_{h} \leq \mu (1-b)$$
(3.5)

Which implies,

$$\sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{j} [1 + \beta(\delta - \lambda)]^{i} a_{h} z^{h} \leq \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[2]_{p,q}^{k} \{2[2]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\} [1 + (\beta(\delta - \lambda))]^{\zeta - i}}$$
(3.6)

The assertions (3.1) and (3.2) of Theorem4.1 would now follow readily from (3.4) and (3.6). Finally, we note that the equalities (3.1) and (3.2) are achieved for the function $\varphi(z)$, defined by

$$\Lambda_{\beta,\delta,\lambda,p,q}^{i,j}\varphi(z) = z - \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[2]_{p,q}^{k} \{2[2]_{p,q}(1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\} [1 + (\beta(\delta-\lambda))]^{\zeta-i}} z^{2}$$
(3.7)

Hence, the proof has been completed.

Taking i = j = 0 in Theorem 2.1, we obtain this corollary.

Corollary 3.2.

Let $\varphi(z)$, defined by (1.10), be in the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. Then, for |z|=r<1,

$$|\varphi(z)| \ge r - \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[2]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ 2[2]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1+\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}} r^{2}$$
(3.8)

and

$$\left| \varphi(z) \right| \le r + \frac{\mu(1-b)}{\left[2 \right]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ 2 \left[2 \right]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} \left[1 + \beta(\delta - \lambda) \right]^{\zeta}} r^{2}$$
(3.9)

The equalities in (3.8) and (3.9) are achieved for the function $\varphi(z)$ given by (4.3).

4. Inclusion properties

We begin this section by showing the following inclusion relation.

Theorem 4.1. Let the hypotheses of theorem th1 be satisfied. Then

$$\begin{split} P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta_1,\delta,\lambda,\mu_1,b) &\supseteq P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta_2,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b) \\ P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta_1,\lambda,\mu,b) &\supseteq P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta_1,\lambda,\mu,b) \\ P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda_1,\mu,b) &\supseteq P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda_2,\mu,b) \\ P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu_1,b) &\supseteq P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu_2,b) \\ P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu_1,b) &\supseteq P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu_2,b) \end{split}$$

Proof. Let the function $\varphi(z)$, defined by (1.10), be in the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$ and let $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2$. Then, by theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1+(h-1)\beta_{1}(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta} a_{h}$$

$$\leq \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1+(h-1)\beta_{1}(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta} a_{h}$$

$$\leq \mu (1-b)$$
Hence, $P^{\zeta,k}(\beta_{1},\delta,\lambda,\mu,b) \supset P^{\zeta,k}(\beta_{2},\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$.

Hence, $P_{n,a}^{\zeta,k}(\beta_1,\delta,\lambda,\mu_1,b) \supseteq P_{n,a}^{\zeta,k}(\beta_2,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$.

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta_{1} - \lambda)]^{\zeta} a_{h} \\ & \leq \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta_{2} - \lambda)]^{\zeta} a_{h} \\ & \leq \mu (1-b) \end{split}$$

Hence, $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta_1,\lambda,\mu,b) \supseteq P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta_2,\lambda,\mu,b)$.

$$\sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda_{1})]^{\zeta} a_{h}$$

$$\leq \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda_{1})]^{\zeta} a_{h}$$

$$\leq 1 - \mu(1-b)$$

Hence, $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda_1,\mu,b) \supseteq P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda_2,\mu,b)$.

Employing a similar procedure, we can prove that $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu_1,b) \supseteq P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu_2,b)$.

5. Closure Theorems

This section has begun with proving that the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.

Theorem 5.1. The class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$ is a convex set.

Proof. Let the functions

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon}(z) = z - \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} a_{\varepsilon,h} z^{h} \quad (a_{\varepsilon,h} \ge 0; \varepsilon = 1, 2; z \in \Theta)$$
 (5.1)

be in the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. It is sufficient to show that the function $\gamma(z)$ defined by

$$\gamma(z) = \xi \varphi_1(z) + (1 - \xi)\varphi_2(z) \tag{5.2}$$

is also in the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. Since, for $0 \le \xi \le 1$,

$$\gamma(z) = z - \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \xi a_{1,h} + (1 - \xi) a_{2,h} \right\} z^{c}, \tag{5.3}$$

by using theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{h=2}^{\infty} [h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda)]^{\zeta} \left\{ \xi a_{1,h} + (1-\xi)a_{2,h} \right\} \le \mu (1-b)$$
 (5.4)

which means that $\gamma(z) \in P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. Hence $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$ is a convex set.

Theorem 5.2. Let $\varphi_1(z) = z$ and

$$\varphi_{h}(z) = z - \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[h]_{p,q}^{k} \{h[h]_{p,q}(1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\}[1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}} z^{h}, \quad (h \ge 2; k, \zeta \in \mathbb{N}_{0})$$
(5.5)

for $0 \le \mu < 1$ and $0 \le \beta(\delta - \lambda) \le 1$. Then $\varphi(z)$ is in the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$ if and only if it could be expressed in the form:

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \omega_h \varphi_h(z), \tag{5.6}$$

where

$$\omega_h \ge 0 \ (h \ge 1) \ and \ \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \omega_h = 1$$
 (5.7)

Proof. Assume that

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \omega_h \varphi_h(z)
= z - \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[h]_{n,a}^k \{h[h]_{n,a}(1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\} [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda)]^{\zeta}} \omega_h z^h$$

Then it follows that

$$\sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \frac{[h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}}{\mu(1-b)}$$

$$\frac{\mu(1-b)}{[h]_{p,q}^{k}\left\{h[h]_{p,q}(1+\mu)-\mu b-1\right\}[1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}}\omega_{h}=\sum_{h=2}^{\infty}\omega_{h}=1-\omega_{1}$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.1, $\varphi(z) \in P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$.

Conversely, suppose that $\varphi(z)$, defined by (1.10), is in the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. Then

$$a_h \leq \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[h]_{p,q}^k \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}}, \quad (h \geq 2; k, \zeta \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

considering

$$\omega_{h} = \frac{[h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1 + (v-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda)]^{\zeta}}{\mu(1-b)} a_{h} \quad (h \ge 2; k, \zeta \in \mathbb{N}_{0})$$

and

$$\omega_1 = 1 - \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \omega_h$$

It's observable that $\varphi(z)$ can be expressed in (5.6). Which completes the proof.

6. Radii of close-to-convexity, starlikenss, and convexity

In this section, we shall determine the radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness, and convexity for the functions belonging to the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$.

Theorem 6.1. Let the function $\varphi(z)$, defined by (1.10), be in the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. Then $\varphi(z)$ is close-to-convex of order $\sigma(0 \le \sigma < 1)$ in $|z| < r_1$, where

$$r_{1} = \inf \left\{ \frac{(1-\sigma)h^{-1}[h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}}{\mu(1-b)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{(h-1)}}, (h \ge 2)$$
(6.1)

The result is sharp, with the extremal function $\varphi(z)$ given by (2.2).

Proof. We need to show that

$$\left| \varphi'(z) - 1 \right| \le 1 - \sigma \text{ for } |z| < r_1$$

where r_1 is given by (6.1). Then we yield from definition (1.10)

$$|\varphi'(z)-1| \leq \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} ha_h |z|^{h-1}.$$

Thus.

$$\left| \varphi'(z) - 1 \right| \le 1 - \sigma$$

Yousef and Salleh

if

$$\sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h}{1-\sigma} \right) a_h \left| z \right|^{h-1} \le 1 \tag{6.2}$$

But, by Theorem 6.1, (6.2) holds true if

$$\left(\frac{h}{1-\sigma}\right) |z|^{h-1} \le \frac{[h]_{p,q}^k \left\{h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\right\} [1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}}{\mu(1-b)}$$

that is, if

$$|z| \le \left(\frac{(1-\sigma)h^{-1}[h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{h[h]_{p,q}(1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\right\}[1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}}{\mu(1-b)}\right)^{\frac{1}{(h-1)}} (h \ge 2)$$
(6.3)

Theorem 6.1 follows readily form (6.4).

Theorem 6.2. Let $\varphi(z)$, defined by (1.10), be in the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. Then $\varphi(z)$ is a starlike of order $\sigma(0 \le \sigma < 1)$ in $|z| < r_2$, where

$$r_{2} = \inf \left\{ \frac{(1-\sigma)[h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1 + (h-1)\beta(\delta - \lambda)]^{\zeta}}{\mu(h-\sigma)(1-b)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{(h-1)}}, (h \ge 2)$$
(6.4)

The result is sharp, with the extremal function $\varphi(z)$ given by (2.2).

Proof. We need to show that

$$\left| \frac{z \varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)} - 1 \right| \le 1 - \sigma \text{ for } |z| < r_2$$

where r_2 is given by (6.4). Indeed, definition (1.10) implies that

$$\left| \frac{z \varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)} - 1 \right| \le \frac{\sum_{h=2}^{\infty} (h-1)a_h |z|^{h-1}}{1 - \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} a_h |z|^{h-1}}$$

Thus.

$$\left| \frac{z \varphi(z)}{\varphi(z)} - 1 \right| \le 1 - \sigma$$

if

$$\sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h - \sigma}{1 - \sigma} \right) a_h \left| z \right|^{h-1} \le 1 \tag{6.5}$$

But, by Theorem 2.1, (6.5) holds true if

$$\left(\frac{h-\sigma}{1-\sigma}\right) |z|^{h-1} \le \frac{[h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\right\} [1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}}{\mu(h-\sigma)(1-b)} \tag{6.6}$$

that is, if

$$|z| \le \left(\frac{(1-\sigma)[h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{h[h]_{p,q}(1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\right\}[1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}}{\mu(h-\sigma)(1-b)}\right)^{\frac{1}{(h-1)}} \quad (h \ge 2)$$
(6.7)

Theorem 6.2 follows readily form (6.7).

Corollary 6.3. Let the function $\varphi(z)$, defined by (1.10), be in the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. Then $\varphi(z)$ is a convex of order $\sigma(0 \le \sigma < 1)$ in $|z| < r_2$, where

$$r_{3} = \inf \left\{ \frac{(1-\sigma)h^{-1}[h]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^{\zeta}}{\mu(h-\sigma)(1-b)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{(h-1)}}, (h \ge 2)$$
(6.8)

The result is sharp, with the extremal function $\varphi(z)$ given by (2.2).

7. Integral means inequality

For any two functions, φ and Γ , analytic in Θ , φ is said to be subordinate to Γ in Θ , written as $\varphi(z) \prec \Gamma(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega(z)$, analytic in Θ , with

$$\omega(0) = 0$$
 and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \Theta$,

such that $\varphi(z) = \Gamma(\omega(z))$ for all $z \in \Theta$. Furthermore, if the function Γ is univalent in Θ , then we have the following equivalence [10]:

$$\varphi(z) \prec \gamma(z) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(0) = \gamma(0) \text{ and } \varphi(\Theta) \subset \gamma(\Theta).$$

To prove the integral means inequality for functions belonging to the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$, we need the following subordination result found by Littlewood [16].

Lemma 7.1. If the functions φ and Γ are analytic in Θ with $\varphi(z) \prec \Gamma(z)$, then for $\eta > 0$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$ (0 < r < 1),

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \varphi(z) \right|^{\eta} d\theta \le \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \gamma(z) \right|^{\eta} d\theta \tag{7.1}$$

By applying Theorem 2.1 with the extremal function and Lemma 7.1, we achieve the following theorem.

Theorem 7.2. Let $\left\{ [h]_{p,q}^k \left\{ h[h]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1+(h-1)\beta(\delta-\lambda)]^\zeta \right\}_{h=2}^\infty$ be a non-decreasing sequence. If $\varphi(z) \in P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$, then

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \varphi(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\eta} d\theta \le \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \gamma(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\eta} d\theta \quad (0 < r < 1; \ \eta > 0), \tag{7.2}$$

where

$$\varphi_*(z) = z - \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[2]_{p,q}^k \{2[2]_{p,q}(1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\} [1 + (\beta(\delta - \lambda))]^\zeta} z^2$$
(7.3)

Proof. Let the function $\varphi(z)$, defined by (1.10), be in the class $P_{p,q}^{\zeta,k}(\beta,\delta,\lambda,\mu,b)$. Then we need to show that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} a_{h,h} z^{h-1} \right|^{\eta} d\theta \le \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{\mu(1-b)}{\left[2\right]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{2\left[2\right]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\right\} \left[1 + (\beta(\delta - \lambda))\right]^{\zeta}} z \right|^{\eta} d\theta \tag{7.4}$$

Thus, by applying Lemma 7.1, it would suffice to show that

$$1 - \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} a_h z^{h-1} < 1 - \frac{\mu(1-b)}{[2]_{p,q}^k \{2[2]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\} [1 + (\beta(\delta - \lambda))]^{\zeta}} z$$
 (7.5)

If the subordination (7.5) holds true, then there exists an analytic function ω with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $\omega(z) |< 1$ such that

$$1 - \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} a_{h,h} z^{h-1} = 1 - \frac{\mu(1-b)}{\left[2\right]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{2\left[2\right]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1\right\} \left[1 + (\beta(\delta - \lambda))\right]^{\zeta}} \omega(z).$$
 (7.6)

Using Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(z)| &= \left| \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ 2[2]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1 + (\beta(\delta - \lambda))]^{\zeta}}{\mu(1-b)} a_{h} z^{h-1} \right| \\ &\leq |z| \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2]_{p,q}^{k} \left\{ 2[2]_{p,q} (1+\mu) - \mu b - 1 \right\} [1 + (\beta(\delta - \lambda))]^{\zeta}}{\mu(1-b)} a_{h} \leq |z| < 1, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the subordination (7.5). So the proof is completed.

References

- **1.** Kanas, S. and Răducanu, D. **2014**. Some class of analytic functions related to conic domains. *Mathematica slovaca*, **64**(5): 1183-1196.
- **2.** Jackson, F. H. **1909**. XI.---on q-functions and a certain difference operator. *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, **46**(2): 253-281.
- **3.** Aral, A., Gupta, V. and Agarwal, R. P. **2013**. *Applications of q-calculus in operator theory* (p. 262). New York: Springer.
- **4.** Altinkaya, S. and Kanas, S. **2018**. Subclass of k-uniformly starlike functions defined by symmetric q-derivative operator. *Ukrains' kyi Matematychnyi Zhurnal*, **70**(11): 1499-1510
- **5.** Yalçn, S., Vijaya, K. and Murugusundaramoorthy, G. **2018**. Certain class of analytic functions involving Salagean type q-difference operator. *Konuralp Journal of Mathematics*, **6**(2): 264-271.
- **6.** Salagean, G. S. **1983**. Subclasses of univalent functions. In *Complex Analysis—Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar* (pp. 362-372). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- **7.** Frasin, B.A. and Murugusundaramoorthy, G. **2000**. A subordination results for a class of analytic functions defined by q-differential operator, Submitted.
- **8.** Yousef, F., Al-Shible, A. and Yalcin, S. **2019**. An Application of Jackson's (p, q)-Derivative to a Subclass of Starlike Functions with Negative Coefficients. *arXiv* preprint arXiv: 2599556.
- **9.** Darus, M. and Ibrahim, R. W. **2009**. On subclasses for generalized operators of complex order. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **33**(3): 299-308.
- **10.** Al-Oboudi, F. M. **2004**. On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **2004**(27): 1429-1436.
- **11.** Al-Hawary, T., Frasin, B. A. and Yousef, F. **2018**. Coefficients estimates for certain classes of analytic functions of complex order. *Afrika Matematika*, **29**(7-8): 1265-1271.
- **12.** Al-Hawary, T., Yousef, F. and Frasin, B. A. **2018**. Subclasses of Analytic Functions of Complex Order Involving Jackson's (p, q)-derivative. *Available at SSRN* 3289803.
- **13.** Aouf, M. K. and Srivastava, H. M. **1996**. Some families of starlike functions with negative coefficients. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, **203**(3): 762-790.
- **14.** Frasin, B. A., Al-Hawary, T. and Yousef, F. **2018**. Necessary and sufficient conditions for hypergeometric functions to be in a subclass of analytic functions. *Afrika Matematika*, 1-8.
- **15.** Yousef, F., Amourah, A. A. and Darus, M. **2016**. Differential sandwich theorems for p-valent functions associated with a certain generalized differential operator and integral operator. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **36**: 543-556.
- **16.** Littlewood, J. E. **1925**. On inequalities in the theory of functions. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, **2**(1): 481-519.
- **17.** Goodman, A. W. **1957**. Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **8**(3): 598-601.
- **18.** Ruscheweyh, S. **1981**. Neighborhoods of univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **81**(4): 521-527.
- **19.** Ahuja, O. P., Çetinkaya, A. and Ravichandran, V. **2018**. Harmonic Univalent Functions Defined by Post Quantum Calculus Operators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.00298*.